

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/004,257	10/26/2001	Yves Delmotte	WM-267.00	3743	
75	7590 12/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Janice Guthrie		VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A			
BAXTER Healthcare Corporation 17511 Armstrong Avenue ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER			
Irvine, CA 92614			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/004,257	DELMOTTE, YVES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the period for reply will, by statution and the period for reply will. The mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-72 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct any of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e_drawing(s) be_held_in_abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attack as well a					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28 are, drawn to a multilayered biocompatible structure comprising: a biopolymer membrane; and a biopolymer product in contact with the biopolymer membrane wherein the biomaterial membrane comprises a blend of biomaterial and thrombin and process of making the biopolymer membrane, classified in class 424, subclass 443.
 - II. Claims 29-31 are, drawn to a multilayered biocompatible structure comprising: a first blend of a biomaterial and thrombin defining a biopolymer membrane; a second blend of a biomaterial and thrombin defining a biopolymer product, wherein the biopolymer membrane contacts the biopolymer product', classified in class 424, subclass 443.
 - III. Claims 32-37 and 41-66 are, drawn to biopolymer membrane comprising a blend of biomaterial and thrombin and process of making the biopolymer membrane, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.
 - IV. Claims 38, and 67-72 are drawn to multilayered biopolymer membrane and process of making the multilayered biopolymer membrane, classified in class 514, and subclass 1+.
- V. Claims 39-40 are, drawn to artificial skin, classified in class 435, subclass 325.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1615

2. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the multilayered biocompatible structure can be made biopolymer membrane, which is a blend of biomaterial and any polymer.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to make an implant.
- 4. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions as group I is drawn to biocompatible structure with one membrane where as group I is drawn to biocompatible structure with two membranes and both the groups have different effects, different modes of operation.
- 5. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the multilayered biocompatible structure can be made of two-biopolymer membrane, which is a

Application/Control Number: 10/004,257 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

blend of biomaterial and any polymer, and another biopolymer membrane which is biomaterial and another polymer, which is different from the polymer, used in the making the first biopolymer membrane.

- 6. Inventions II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to make an implant.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

(a) ELECTION OF SPECIES

If applicants elect groups I-V, they are further required to elect the biomaterial, which forms the membrane, or two membranes.

Art Unit: 1615

10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: drawn to biomaterial of claim 4, 43 or 69.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Clams 1, 29, 32, 38, 3941, and 67 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

11. Due to complex restriction requirement, telephone call was not made to applicants.

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30-7:30:1st and 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN K PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IVOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615
